

Government Must be Neutral Arbitrators of the Law

If government is not the answer, then where should solutions for society come? The private sector. When I managed a group of engineers, I told them they can complain to me about anything they wanted with one caveat. They must offer solutions to their complaints! After all, engineers are chartered with finding solutions to problems.

Likewise, in our current society I place the onus of finding solutions to problems on those who advocate for a specific cause. For instance, those who advocate for climate change policies should be chartered with fixing the problem. For instance, climate change activist can become engineers and invent new technologies or energy sources that solve the problem of CO2 emissions. Solutions such as carbon scrubbers instead of promoting renewable and green energy solutions that harm the environment would be beneficial. The onus should not on the federal government to force expensive policies on those who disagree with their cause. Changes should occur naturally and not be forced.

Another example is those who want to end abortion and prostitution. Again, most Christians are looking to the government to ban abortion and prostitution. What if Christians united their resources to provide programs that would knock down the barriers as to why persons get an abortion or turn to prostitution. For instance, offering trade schools or scholarships to prostitutes to learn a new trade. Offering programs that assist pregnant mothers not only through the pregnancy process but with childcare and pediatric doctors. Perhaps improving the adoption process to make it easier for good families to adopt a child would help eliminate some abortions.

My point is that advocates for a certain position always feel the best way to solve the problem is through the judicial or federal governmental system. Government solutions creates unnecessary polarity in society. If we want people to change how they do something, then we should offer them something better. We should innovate instead of polarize. We should convince instead of compel and force.

The cornerstone to any free society is free speech and liberty of thought. When that is gone, we are Russia and China. The first amendment is truly amazing and protects our values that do not harm others from any government interference. So why do people promote a government that wants to tear down the first amendment in favor of a government that takes sides on sexuality, ethnicity, political correctness, wokeness, and other values? Every stain on American history is because the government took sides on how we look or think and yet, they continue to make the same mistake. This trend needs to stop.